Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/050,123	YEN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jason M. Perilla	2638
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Pending</u>		
(1) <u>Jason M. Perilla</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Benjamin E. Urcia</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>15 February 2006</u>	Time: <u>2PM</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
1		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.  SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:		
Applicant reviewed and approved a proposed Examiner's amendment to place the case into direct condition for allowance.		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
•		
1.4 11		
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		
(Abbligatio)	ppoutr. o ttopioootitative Of	griaturo il appropriate)